From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

To	D:		DCT		
١.	BECKER KURI	STRAUS	PCT		
	Becker, Kurig, Strau BECKER KURIK Bavariastrasse 7	336 MÜNCHEN	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE TERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY		
	DE-80336 MÜNCHEN 17. Mai 2		EXAMINING AUTHORITY		
(Germany	001	(PCT Rule 66)		
	wv:/L				
		Date of mailing	g 1 2 or 2004		
An	plicant's or agent's file reference	(day/month/yea	ar) 1 3 -05- 2004		
1	LO29WO	REPLY DUE	within 60 days from the above date of mailing		
	ernational application No. International filing date	 (day/month/year			
PC	T/IB 2002/002264 19-06-2002				
Int	ernational Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classificat	ion and IPC			
_	06F 17/30, H04Q 7/38				
1 -	plicant		774		
NC	okia Corporation et al	<u> </u>	3174		
1.	The written opinion established by the International Se	arching Authorit	cy:		
	is	is not			
ŀ	considered to be a written opinion of the International	Preliminary Exar	nining Authority.		
2.	This first (first, etc.) opinion contain	s indications rela	ating to the following items:		
	Box No. I Basis of the opinion		that is not be sometiments.		
	Box No. II Priority		1000 - 11.2 CO)(1)		
-	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, in	ventive step and industrial applicability		
	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention	•	·		
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a) citations and explanations supporting s	(ii) with regard tuch statement	o novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
	Box No. VI Certain documents cited		PC: MUTCH		
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international appl	lication	1 CA 04		
٠	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the internation	al application	20604 nd		
3.	The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion.	•	3/0504 1151		
When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(e).					
	How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where For the form and the language of the amendments,	see Rules 66.8 ar	nd 66.9.		
	Also For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.				
For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. If no renly is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be catablished as the basis of this principal.					
4.	If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion. 4. The final date by which the international preliminary report on patentability				
(Chapter II of the PCT) must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 2004-10-19					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/SE Authorized officer					
Box	ent- och registreringsverket : 5055				
S-102 42 STOCKHOLM Oskar Pihlgren /LR					

ternational application No.

PCT/IB 2002/002264

Box	x No. I	Ba	asis of the opinion	<u> </u>		
1.	With a which	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. This opinion is based on a translation from the original language into the following language				
			is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:			
		Ц	international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))			
		님	publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)			
		Ш	international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)			
2.	which	With regard to the elements of the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed."):				
		the int	ternational application as originally filed/furnished			
,	\bowtie	the de	scription:			
İ		pages		as originally filed/furnished		
		pages	received by this Authority on	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
•	\square	pages the cla	received by this Authority on			
		pages	ams.			
		pages	1-3 as amended (together with a	as originally filed/furnished my statement) under Article 19		
		pages	received by this Authority on			
		pages	received by this Authority on			
	\boxtimes	the dra	awings:			
		pages		as originally filed/furnished		
		pages	received by this Authority on received by this Authority on			
	П	pages	ence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence			
3:			mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	e Listing.		
	•		the description, pages			
		Ħ	the claims, Nos.	· ·		
		Ħ	the drawings, sheets/figs			
		\sqcap	the sequence listing (specify):	· · · · · · ·		
			any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):			
4.		This opinion has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).				
			the description, pages			
	,		the claims, Nos.	,		
			the drawings, sheets/figs			
			the sequence listing (specify):			
			any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):			
						
			·	·		



International application No.

PCT/IB 2002/002264

The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be in applicable have not been examined in respect of: the entire international application	dustrially					
the entire international application						
the entire international application						
Claims Nos. 9, 12, 14, 23, 25	· 					
because:						
the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):						
	,					
	•					
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
the claims, or said claims Nos.						
by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.	ported					
K						
no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 9, 12, 14, 23, 25	·					
the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:						
the written form has not been furnished						
does not comply with the standard						
the computer readable form has not been furnished						
does not comply with the standard						
the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not conthe technical requirements provided for in the Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.	mply with					
See Supplemental Box for further details.						

nemational application No.

PCT/IB 2002/002264

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1.	Statement		
	Novelty (N)	Claims Claims	1-4, 6, 7, 17-21
٠	Inventive step (IS)	Claims Claims	1-8, 10, 11, 13, 15-22, 24
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims Claims	

2. Citations and explanations:

Cited documents

D1: Look Ma', My homepage is Mobile! Roger Kehr and Andreas Zeidler, Presented as a short paper at HUC'2k, September 25-27, 2000, HP Labs, Bristol, UK. Published in Journal of Personal Technologies, Vol 4, 2000, Springer.

D2: US 5956487 A

Statement

D1, which is the prior-art-cited-document most closely related to the present invention, discloses a system where a mobile device is used as an information service provider. Thereby it is possible for a client somewhere on the Web to obtain information about the current context a mobile device is in. A web server with a homepage is running inside a mobile device, and the mobile web server can be accessed by mobile or static clients. This web server is used to enhance Web pages dynamically with context related information for the mobile device and brings the virtual presence of a user near the physical presence. In D1, page 3, is illustrated an example of what a context related mobile homepage template can look like.

With reference to what is prior known by D1 as stated above, what is claimed in claims 1-4, 6, 7 and 17-21 lacks novelty.

What is claimed in claims 5, 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 22 and 24 are only minor accessory technical details which are considered to be obvious to a person skilled in the art and which in themselves are considered not to involve an inventive step. Furthermore, none of these details contribute to any unexpected technical effects which cannot be anticipated by a

ternational application No.

PCT/IB 2002/002264

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: Box V

person skilled in the art. In addition what is stated in claims 5, 8, 10 and 11 is considered to lack clarity and conciseness within the meaning of Article 6, PCT (see also Rule 6.3(a) according to which the definition of the matter for which protection is sought shall be in terms of the technical features of the invention).